

### **REMARKS**

This paper responds to the Office Action mailed on June 13, 2007 and the Advisory Action mailed July 9, 2007.

Claim 16 is amended, no claims are canceled, and no claims are added; as a result, claims 1-6 and 8-21 are now pending in this application.

Applicant further attaches hereto in an Appendix, a copy of the Office Action in Serial No. 10/630,544 having the same examiner as the present application for her consideration. Application Serial No. 10/630,544 is part of the same family of applications as the present application.

#### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-6, 8-15, and 17-21 were allowed. The Examiner is again thanked for his careful review of the present application and for his indication of allowable subject matter.

#### **§112 Rejection of the Claims**

Claim 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as lacking adequate description or enablement. Although Applicants do not agree with the Examiner's rejection of claim 16, in order to provide additional clarity, claim 16 is amended as suggested by the examiner in the advisory action to move the application to allowance. Allowance of claim 16 is requested.

#### **Reservation of Rights**

In the interest of clarity and brevity, Applicant may not have addressed every assertion made in the Office Action. Applicant's silence regarding any such assertion does not constitute any admission or acquiescence. Applicant reserves all rights not exercised in connection with this response, such as the right to challenge or rebut any tacit or explicit characterization of any reference or of any of the present claims, the right to challenge or rebut any asserted factual or legal basis of any of the rejections, the right to swear behind any cited reference such as provided under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, or the right to assert co-ownership of any cited reference. Applicant does not admit that any of the cited references or any other references of record are

relevant to the present claims, or that they constitute prior art. To the extent that any rejection or assertion is based upon the Examiner's personal knowledge, rather than any objective evidence of record as manifested by a cited prior art reference, Applicant timely objects to such reliance on Official Notice, and reserves all rights to request that the Examiner provide a reference or affidavit in support of such assertion, as required by MPEP § 2144.03. Applicant reserves all rights to pursue any cancelled claims in a subsequent patent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present patent application, and to request rejoinder of any withdrawn claim, as required by MPEP § 821.04.

### CONCLUSION


Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney (612) 349-9587 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,  
TONGBI JIANG ET AL.  
By their Representatives,  
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.  
P.O. Box 2938  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 349-9587

Date 13 Sep '07

By

  
Timothy B. Clise  
Reg. No. 40,957

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:** The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being filed using the USPTO's electronic filing system EFS-Web, and is addressed to: MS AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September 2007.

Amy Moriarty  
Name

  
Signature